







Dissolution testing can be a way of discriminating between different formulations; still, currently there are no standard apparatus or procedures available for inhaled products.

Gerde et al. [1] developed an in vitro model that simulates the dissolution and absorption of drugs from inhaled aerosols - Dissolv/t®. Prior to dissolution testing, products are aerosolized and collected on coverslips using the PreciseInhale® aerosol generator. However this collecting equipment lacks an impaction stage for coarse particle and agglomerates – a pre-separator -, thereby decreasing the biorelevance of the succeeding dissolution test.

including an extra impaction stage for excluding coarse particles in the PreciseInhale.

## **PRE-SEPARATOR BENCHMARKING**

The newly designed pre-separator (PS) was benchmarked using Copley's next generation impactor PS. The flow direction of the PreciseInhale® generator is opposite to the NGI. Hence, to test the new PS, three configurations were considered:





To access the PS performance, 2 different inhalers, Plastiape RS01 (PL) and PowdAir (PW), were used, actuating ten capsules each, containing a lactose ternary mixture with a drug load of 32.7 µg (n=3).

## **PARTICLE COLLECTION USING PRECISEINHALE**



Flixotide Diskus 250 µg of fluticasone propionate, containing coarse lactose particles

Two commercial products were used. The number of actuations (Nact) were defined to obtain a deposited dose (DD) within 600-700 ng/glass (Table 1).

The inhalers were actuated according to Figure 2.

**Figure 2** – Schematic of PreciseInhale actuation and glass holding.



REFERENCES: [1] Gerde P, Malmlöf M, Havsborn L, Sjöberg CO, Ewing P, Eirefelt S, Ekelund K. Dissolv It: An In Vitro Method for Simulating the Dissolution and Absorption of Inhaled Dry Powder Drugs in the Lungs. ASSAY and Drug Development Technologies. 2017 Mar 1;15(2):77-88. [2] Noriega B, Malmlöf M, Costa E, Corvo ML, Gerde P and Maia FM Dissolution of Orally Inhaled Drugs using DissolvIt®: Influence of a Newly Designed Pre-Separator for Particle Collection. In Drug Delivery to the Lungs 28. The Aerosol Society. Bristol, UK: 2017: page 190.

# **Biorelevant Dissolution Testing of Orally Inhaled Drugs:** Adding a Newly Designed Pre-Separator to PreciseInhale<sup>®</sup>



### INTRODUCTION

# The aim of this work is to optimize the particle collection procedure for dissolution testing by

I - standard NGI set-up (15 ml of solvent in the PS) **2** - upside down NGI set-up using a PS and IP from Copley (without solvent) **3** - upside down set up with the new PS and IP (with a solvent reservoir at the bottom)

Pulmicort Flexhaler 180 µg of budesonide without coarse particles



Table 1 – Schematic of PreciseInhale actuation and glass holding.

Set up	Inhaler	DD (ng/glass)	Nact
Without PS	Flixotide	651±293	1
With PS	Diskus	618±144	5
Without PS	Pulmicort	587±51	7
With PS	Flexhaler	739±62	3

Aerodynamic particle size distribution (APSD) by Marple Cascade Impactor

Optical microscopy after deposition

SEM analysis after deposition

Dissolution testing using Dissolv*It* apparatus

<sup>1</sup>Hovione FarmaCiencia SA, Campus do Lumiar, Edifício R, Estrada do Paço do Lumiar, 1649-038 Lisboa <sup>2</sup>iMed.ULisboa, Faculdade de Farmácia, Universidade de Lisboa, Avenida Prof. Gama Pinto, Lisboa, 1649-003, Portugal <sup>3</sup>Inhalation Sciences, Hälsovägen 7-9, 141 57, Huddinge, Sweden <sup>4</sup>Institute of Environmental Medicine, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden

## **RESULTS & DISCUSSION**

#### **Pre-separator Benchmarking**

The two inhalers showed different performances - PowdAir (PW) had a higher emitted dose but also a higher retention in the PS, which was observed when using both PS's, indicating a comparable performance. A decrease in recovery was observed when actuating the NGI upside down, probably due to particle deposition on the nozzle plates, from which the API could not be recovered. Table 2 – Aerodynamic profile of Plastiape (PL) and PowdAir (PA), by NGI standard set-up (STD), upside-down (UD) and with the new preseparator and induction port (PS+IP) (NEW) PL UD PL STD Emitted dose (µg)  $13.8 \pm 0.5$  $12.2 \pm 0.9$ PS+IP (µg)  $5.7 \pm 0.7$  $6.5 \pm 0.1$  $4.3 \pm 0.4$ Fine Particle Dose (µg)  $4.2 \pm 0.3$  $79.2 \pm 8.7$ Total recovery (%)  $82.2 \pm 5.3$ \* based on one replicate **Particle Collection Using PreciseInhale** Optical microscopy SEM analysis uoarse mediam aller than Number of without PS 0.6 with PS coarse particles 0.4 deposited with 0.3

Fluticasone Pro			527-17_FP with P5	S26-17_EP without *	and PS ba SEI
	With PS	Without PS	With PS	Without PS	
onide			<mark>30 µm</mark> ⊣	50 µm	<ul> <li>The on t the</li> <li>The</li> </ul>
desc				1-3-5	hon
Bu			J.		• Mor
			1 µm 1 ± 225-17_ED with P5	1 µm 528-17_ED without	with

**Table 3** – Mass median aerodynamic diameter by Marple Cascade Impactor, (n=3). **Results of dissolution** obtained using DissolvIt apparatus.  $t_{max}$  – time of maximum concentration;  $C_{max}$  – maximum concentration. Adapted from [2].

	APSD (µm)		Dissolution		
Inhaler	With PS	Without PS	With PS	Without PS	
Flixotide Diskus	4.21 ± 0.13	4.52 ± 0.09	$t_{max}$ =19 $\pm$ 0 min C <sub>max</sub> =1.8 $\pm$ 0.1 ng/ml	$t_{max}$ =36 $\pm$ 21 min C <sub>max</sub> =1.5 $\pm$ 0.3 ng/ml	
Pulmicort Flexhaler	3.33 ± 0.04	3.37 ± 0.30	$t_{max}$ =3 $\pm$ 0 min C <sub>max</sub> =110 $\pm$ 5 ng/ml	$t_{max}$ =3 $\pm$ 0 min C <sub>max</sub> =55 $\pm$ 3 ng/ml	
			CONCLUSIONS		

The PS exhibited a similar retention and fine particle dose when compared to a commercially available, for the two inhalers tested, suggesting a similar action. Used in the PreciseInhale showed an influence on the APS for an aerosol containing coarse particles; also allowed a more even deposition for the two products tested.

The dissolution rate increased with the use of the PS, possibly due to the decrease of the particle size of the collected aerosol and to a better dispersion, increasing the available surface area for dissolution. The newly designed PS for the PreciseInhale can be a candidate to be adopted for the collection of a more biorelevant fraction of different dry powder formulations.

Beatriz Noriega<sup>1,2</sup>, Maria Malmlöf<sup>3,4</sup>, M. Luisa Corvo<sup>2</sup>, Per Gerde<sup>3,4</sup>, Eunice Costa<sup>1</sup>

<b>PL NEW</b>	<b>PW STD</b>	PW UD*	<b>PW NEW</b>
$12.1 \pm 1.1$	$20.2 \pm 0.3$	19.1	$19.3 \pm 2.6$
$6.6 \pm 0.3$	$11.4 \pm 0.1$	11.2	$12.6 \pm 2.9$
$4.3 \pm 0.6$	$5.2 \pm 0.1$	4.8	$4.1 \pm 0.6$
$78.0 \pm 3.1$	$86.5 \pm 1.7$	71.3	$75.4 \pm 15.7$

